

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCÉ
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/624,883	07/21/2003	Robert R. Parsons	15569-0005	6790
28529 75	90 07/29/2005		EXAMINER	
GALLAGHER & KENNEDY, P. A.			BAROT, BHARAT	
2575 E. CAME PHOENIX, AZ	LBACK RD. #1100 Z. 85016		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
		•	DATE MAILED: 07/29/200	ς .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7 <b>7</b>		
<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/624,883	PARSONS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bharat N. Barot	2155
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleved in the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A	April 2005.	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-34,44-47 and 52-70</u> is/are pending	g in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34,44-47 and 52-70</u> is/are rejected	d.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		<del></del>
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li> <li>application from the International Burea</li> </ol>	•	n received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received
oco ino anacina detanca Omice action for a na	o. the continue copies no	
And the second of		
Attachment(s) ) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
P) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/03 & 7/12/04.	5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office  FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050711

Art Unit: 2155

# RESPONSE TO ELECTION/RESTRICTION RESPONSE AND AMENDMENT

1. Applicants' Election/Restriction response and amendment filed on April 18, 2005 have been received.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

2. Claims 1-34, 44-47, and 52-70 remain for further examination.

#### **Specification**

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-34, 44-47, and 52-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gardos et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,880,007). Gardos' patent meets all the limitations for the claims 1-34, 44-47, and 52-70 recited in the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,883

Art Unit: 2155

6. As to claim 1, Gardos et al disclose a proxy domain name registration system (see abstract; and figures 1-3) comprising: a registrar (153) for receiving domain name registration requests from customers (registrants) (1), communicating a registration request to a registry (8) including a domain name and domain owner personal contact information, communicating a domain name and personal contact information to a proxy domain owner, and storing domain owner contact information; a proxy (DNS) (9) for receiving and storing personal contact information communicated to the proxy by the registrar, and communicating proxy personal contact information to the registrar; and the registrar being responsive to the communication of personal contact information by the proxy to: submit a registration request and the proxy personal contact information from the proxy to a registry, and store that proxy contact information in the storage (figure 3; column 6 lines 26-50; column 7 lines 1-36; column 7 line 57 to column 8 line 25; and column 8 line 52 to column 9 line 29).

Page 3

7. As to claims 2-3, Gardos et al disclose that the proxy for enabling the customer to signal a command to cancel proxy registration and responding to the customer's signal of the command to cancel for automatically causing transfer of ownership to the customer by the registrar (figure 3 and 4B; column 7 line 57 to column 8 line 11; column 9 lines 16-29; and column 10 lines 33-51).

Art Unit: 2155

8. As to claims 4-6, Gardos et al disclose that the proxy for passing email along to the customer and blocking one or more categories of email from reaching the customer, wherein the categories of email are selected from the group consisting of SPAM, unauthorized bulk email, unauthorized commercial email and pornography (column 2 line 45 to column 3 line 14; and column 10 lines 19-51).

9. As to claims 7-11, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-6 above. Additionally, Gardos et al. disclose that (claim 7) the registrar establishing a web site; an interactive page on the web site enabling a customer to request the registration of a domain name; a message on a page on the Web site prompting a customer to indicate whether proxy registration of a domain name is desired; and a field on a page of the web site for a customer to enter a desired domain name (figure 4A; column 8line 52 to column 9 line 29; and column 9 line 66 to column 10 line 51), (claim 9) the communication input at the proxy is a proxy web site having an interactive page enabling a customer to indicate cancellation of proxy registration (figure 4B; and column 10 lines 19-51), and (claim 11) a proxy email server adapted to receive email, the proxy information including a proxy email address, the registrar publishing the proxy email address in association with a domain name, whereby email intended for the customer is directed to the proxy email server (figures 4S; column 3 lines 14-57; and column 8 lines 25-32).

Art Unit: 2155

- 10. As to claims 12-18, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-6 above, since claims 12-18 are merely a method of operation for the apparatus defined in the claims 1-6. Additionally, Gardos et al teach that directing to the proxy electronic communications addressed to a domain name registered by proxy (column 10 lines 52-63).
- 11. As to claims 19-25, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-6 above, since claims 19-25 are merely a method of operation for the apparatus defined in the claims 1-6. Additionally, Gardos et al teach that receiving electronic addressed to the domain name and sending electronic communications from the domain name, absent identification of the customer (column 9 line 48 to column 10 line 17).
- 12. As to claims 26-34, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-11 above, since claims 26-34 are merely a computer program for the apparatus defined in the claims 1-11. Additionally, Gardos et al disclose that the proxy information stored in memory includes proxy contact information, wherein the proxy contact information includes proxy email address; and teach that communicating with a proxy includes programming for forwarding to the proxy customer information, wherein the customer information includes customer contact information and customer email address (figures 4A-4B; and column 8 line 52 to column 10 line 63).

Art Unit: 2155

13. As to claims 44-47, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-11 above, since claims 44-47 are merely a computer program for the apparatus defined in the claims 1-11.

- 14. As to claims 52-59, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-6 and 26-34 above, since claims 52-59 are merely a method of operation for the apparatus defined in the claims 1-6 and for the computer program defined in the claims 26-34. Additionally, Gardos et al teach that contractually granting legal rights in the domain name to the customer and the domain name, the proxy registration request and personal information from the customer are received from the customer via an interactive web site (figures 4A-4B; and column 8 line 52 to column 10 line 63).
- 15. As to claims 60-68, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-6, 26-34, and 52-59 above, since claims 60-68 are merely an apparatus for the method of operation defined in the claims 52-59 and for the computer program defined in the claims 26-34.
- 16. As to claims 69-70, they are also rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 7-8 above,

Art Unit: 2155

# Additional References

17. The examiner as of general interest cites the following references.

a. Gardos et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,745,248.

b. Mann et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,519,589.

c. Mwikalo et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,480,508.

### **Contact Information**

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Bharat Barot** whose Telephone Number is **(571)**. **272-3979**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM. Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number **(571) 273-8300**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, <u>Saleh Najjar</u>, can be reached at (571) 272-4006.

Bhood Bosst.
BHARAT BAROT
BRIMARY EXAMINER

Patent Examiner Bharat Barot

Art Unit 2155

July 11, 2005